

IN MEMORY OF RUDOLF HESS

Prisoner of Peace

**THIS STONE MARKS THE SPOT
WHERE BRAVE, HEROIC
RUDOLF HESS
LANDED BY PARACHUTE
ON THE NIGHT OF
10th MAY 1941
SEEKING TO END THE WAR
BETWEEN
BRITAIN AND GERMANY**

**This monument in Scotland has been attacked and destroyed by
opponents frightened of a stone which tells the truth.**

**What they cannot destroy is our memory of the martyrdom of
this noble, idealistic, self-sacrificing man of peace who kept
faith down the decades to the very end.**

THE WAR GOES ON

An Open Letter from COLIN JORDAN to the Chief Constable of Strathclyde Police

ON November 18, 1993, vandals of the so-called Anti-Nazi League (a satellite of the Marxist Socialist Workers Party) went on to private land at Floors Farm, Eaglesham, and, with what must have been the pre-arranged connivance of certain persons of the media who were present at the time, deliberately destroyed a valuable monument, the property of Mr Tom Graham of Newcastle-upon-Tyne (who has laid Complaint), located there with the landowner's consent.

The identity of those who were either the principal perpetrators of criminal damage in breach of the Criminal Damage Act 1971, Section 1(1), or else party to a conspiracy to commit this criminal offence is undoubtedly known to the police from newspaper reports from journalists on the spot at the time attributing the damage to members of the ANL, quoting its local organiser, Ameer Anwar, as acknowledging responsibility, and in the case of the *Daily Record* of November 19 publishing on page 7 a photograph of this man actually doing some of the damage. In addition, Scottish Television's *Scotland Today* programme on November 18 showed the damage being done, and in particular showed this Ameer Anwar wielding a sledgehammer against the monument. My information is that the *Daily Record* photograph came from this programme.

A whole month has now elapsed, but without commencement of a police prosecution of the principal culprits and associated conspirators. There can be no valid argument that a prosecution is not in the public interest, as most certainly what is not in the public interest is for such persons to be allowed to get away with it, and thus feel encouraged to indulge in further violence against the property or the person of opponents.

The ANL has by its record of violence established itself as a criminal body contrary to section 2(1) of the Public Order Act of 1936. Only just recently on October 16, 1993, in London its members - when prevented by the police from proceeding in their plan to march on and damage if not destroy a building of its opponents - vented their frustration by attacking the police and injuring a number of officers. I call on you to apply the above Act and Section against this body organised and trained for violence.

A High Court judge just recently ordered the television companies concerned to hand over to the police as evidence of the identity of the culprits film of the ANL violence in London, and I am sure that you can similarly obtain from Scottish Television film evidence of the criminal offence of Ameer Anwar and others on November 18.

If at the end of the day the authorities are determined to do nothing to bring to justice the culprits of November 18, then can it be taken that for the Strathclyde Police the Criminal Damage Act is inoperative respecting the ANL, so that if people on my side decide to answer damage by these people with damage to the property of these people the Strathclyde Police will similarly abstain from action? One way or another, these people must be punished.

READERS are encouraged to echo Mr Jordan's call for police action by writing to the Chief Constable of Strathclyde Police at Strathclyde Police Headquarters, 173 Pitt Street, Glasgow G2 4JS, Scotland.

TRUTH OR POPULARITY?

LATELY, your Editor received a letter of intended encouragement from a longstanding supporter suggesting (not for the first time) that if *Candour* required more readers: "forget it, if you want to advertise Colin Jordan". We have to ask our faithful but seemingly naive friends, what is the use of continuing to publish a journal dedicated to the truth if we seek subscribers who cannot bear to hear it even when it is history? On the other hand, another subscriber wrote to say: "Very pleased to have the anniversary edition; remember reading about the LEL that first year and rejecting it because of the stance on race. How naive!"

Colin Jordan has shown by his actions that he is a brave, intelligent, dedicated man who has been in our righteous war for as long as we can remember, politically speaking, and in the course of it has stuck his neck out for the chop on every occasion when it might be effective. It would be churlish as well as foolish to ignore him just when he has won a signal engagement with our bespoke Establishment, which as far as we know is without precedent. May his success be continued in his present challenge to the supposed authorities with the infamous so-called Anti-Nazi League. If we have any difference with Colin Jordan, this is not it. Let right be done.

ROSINE de BOUNEVIALLE

LADY BIRDWOOD FACES TRIAL ON MORE "RACIAL HATRED" CHARGES

OUR good friend, the Dowager Lady Birdwood, faces a new show trial under the so-called Public Order Act, having been committed at Bow Street on January 7 for trial at the Old Bailey. The summonses related to a booklet entitled *The Longest Hatred* which, the *Daily Telegraph* reported, made "claims of a Jewish conspiracy to undermine British society".

Appearing in her own defence at the committal in order to deny the charges, Lady Birdwood submitted there was no case to answer under the Public Order Act. She stated the law did not apply to her case because Judaism constituted a religion, not a race, and Jews were not part of an ethnic minority. "Customs, belief and tradition do not make members of the Jewish faith an ethnic group", she told magistrate Jeremy Connor. "They do not have common ethnic origins and they are not drawn from common biological stock." The intention of the booklet was to make more widely known the truth about the financial affairs of this country and there was nothing threatening, abusive or insulting in its content. Putting her finger on the source of the clamour for her prosecution, she said, "I was charged as a result of representations by members of the Board of Deputies of British Jews and Parliamentary pressure groups to prevent publication of facts and activities that are subversive."

Jane Birdwood is now 80 years old and has spent the last three decades campaigning for her race and nation. Three years ago she successfully withstood trumped-up charges of "inciting racial hatred" in an earlier case of state persecution. Oh, brave Board of Deputies! Valiant Members of Parliament! Do you really imagine your latest endeavour to silence this indefatigable lady will be any more successful? You seem to forget: she speaks for England!

THE TRUE FACE OF DEMOCRACY

Another delusion...seized the more advanced nations of Christendom, namely, that the people could make the constitution, and that nothing was wanting to secure its successful practical working, but to entrust it to the care of the people - Orestes Brownson, *Demagoguism*.

THERE has been a noticeable trend over the past year within traditionalist circles. Increasingly, individuals are coming to regard democracy as part of the problem, not the solution. Recent articles in *The Angelus* and *Catholic Action* have demonstrated conclusively that "democracy" (both in essence and in practice) is an ideological by-product of liberalism. They trace its roots to humanist thinkers like Locke and Rousseau as well as to masonic aspirations which have shaped democratic beliefs from the beginning. The crux of democracy is that Truth is relative and can be decided by the vote of members of government or by popular referenda.

Liberal thinkers like Joseph Schumpeter and M.I. Finely bemoan the mediocrity and corruption of democracy, while a leading establishment figure like Henry Kissinger admits quite frankly that "...the reputation, indeed the political survival of most leaders, depends on their ability to realise their goals, however these may have been arrived at. Whether these goals are desirable is less crucial."

If the proponents of democracy are saying these things, what are we to think? Conservatives will, of course, say that our system has "simply gone off track", "that we need to get back to the Constitution", etc. But they are missing the point. An eye-opening letter by a former political activist from South Carolina put it this way: "If our system of government and our Constitution are so wonderful, why is it so difficult to pass legislation that protects the traditional family?...If someone answered that anti-family legislation is due to the liberals and/or Democrats, then I had to wonder - well, the liberals and/or Democrats are in office legitimately because they followed proper procedures to get nominated and elected."

As Bishop Williamson [of the Society of St Pius X] explains, these are the "politics of Sodom and Gomorrah," and we are foolish to think we can play the Establishment game by its own rules. [This is the "referendum logic" *Candour* has expounded to the largely closed ears of the anti-EC campaigners in this country - Editor.]

Manipulated Mobocracy

One of the biggest objections made to democracy is that it is "mob rule". But it is not so much "rule by the mob" as it is "rule of the mob" by special interests who shape popular opinion to suit their not so hidden agenda. By no means most Catholics condemn all systems of popular government. St Thomas Aquinas, John of Salisbury, Bishop von Ketteler and G.K. Chesterton were among the foremost champions of genuine participation in politics. As Aristotle, the author of the first political science text, pointed out, democracy is a deviation from "polity", the correct form of popular rule.

According to the teaching of Pope Leo XIII and Pius XI, the present party system is inherently divisive and unstable. They urged that society be organised along "corporate" lines - that is, with representation based on class, occupation and community. This is opposed to the completely alienating and individualistic system we have today. Even modern historians admit

that in many respects the peasant of the Middle Ages played a much greater role in decision-making, at least on the local level, than we do today. As Chesterton said, there is more to self-government than a ballot box.

Ironically, democracy in action proves it has little or nothing to do with its professed aims. In Haiti we have a renegade priest, condemned by the Vatican and described by the CIA as a "psychotic manic depressive", being foisted on that hapless Caribbean nation by the Clinton administration. Aristide has made it clear that he wants sanctions imposed on Haiti until he is able to return to power (despite recent studies that the blockade is dramatically increasing the infant mortality rate).

Similarly, there is Eduard Shevardnadze - former Gorbachev crony and darling of the Western media - who is desperately clinging to power in Georgia. The media ignores the fact that he was a former KGB chief, and that he took power in 1991 at the behest of local warlords after deposing the popularly elected (and genuinely anti-Communist) president, Zviad Gamsakhurdia. It is also interesting that Shevardnadze has invited Russian troops to help him in the civil war with the promise that his country will join the CIS. Are we at all surprised? According to an article by Thomas Goltz in *Foreign Policy* journal, the leaders in Moscow have been sending "military advisors" to assist both pro- and anti-government forces in the conflicts presently raging in the former Soviet republics, with the clear intention of fomenting enough unrest that these nationalities will come begging for Russian (i.e. Communist) support.

When we read, for example, a report that "during 1990-92, as Albania moved from communism to democracy, real GNP decreased 45 per cent and inflation rose 300 per cent", we cannot help but think that the instability and vacillation of the new parliamentary governments has purposefully contributed to the re-installment of the communists throughout Eastern Europe.

With the advent of the "New World Order" we are seeing the true face of democracy: ruthless, opportunistic and, ultimately, pointless. In this country, increasing apathy about the political process seems to prove Spengler's prediction that "democracy will die of boredom". The old liberal synthesis of the past three hundred years is undeniably coming to an end. Now the question is, what will take its place: the *Novus Ordo Seclorum* or the Kingship of Christ?

With acknowledgement to *The Correspondent*, PO Box 10311, Arlington, Va 22210, USA.

DEMOCRACY IS THE DEVIL - LET HIM HAVE IT!

BLASPHEMERS, pornographers, murderers, thieves and vagabonds are all welcome to "air" their views in the names of liberty, equality and fraternity. Those being the democratic ideals that fathered the bloody Terror organised by Robespierre and St Just and its even bloodier offsprings reared by "the will of the people" from Manhattan to Moscow. But let one enlightened patriot among the "demos" raise his voice to condemn Democracy for the damnable (and damned) invention of Satan that it is, and the air vent is suddenly stopped, communication is confined to the level of the strings and tins of our childhood attempts at telephony. The dominant sound bounced from satellite to satellite is the age-old howl induced by the Priests and Elders of the Temple: "Away with him", "Crucify him". The modern equivalent of that sentiment is: "Away with fascist beasts", echoed by multitudes every bit as treasonably managed and deluded as the one gathered before that vacillating time-server Pontius Pilate during the most famous mis-trial in history.

Candour [January 1977]

THE BEST OF A.K. CHESTERTON

Having just celebrated the fortieth anniversary of *Candour*, we propose publishing in subsequent issues a selection of the best of A.K. Chesterton's leading articles during the twenty years he edited this journal. This "vintage A.K." series will represent a true "back to basics" course for patriots. It will repeat for older readers and reveal for new ones the uniquely percipient contribution our founding editor made to the pursuit of patriotic politics in terms of how we should interpret the world around us and how we should campaign to set it right. The first article, puncturing the Churchillian myth, was published on November 20, 1953.

SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL

SIR Winston Churchill, by almost universal consent, is a grand old British institution. The trouble with grand old institutions is that they tend to become so firmly accepted that they are no longer scrutinised. Their very existence is taken as a guarantee that all is well. Only the dim-witted will fail to see the dangers of so facile an assurance. In these days of earthquake and eclipse nobody who takes a prominent part in the control of our affairs should escape scrutiny.

Were any man other than Winston Churchill the Prime Minister of Great Britain, there would be widespread alarm at the present obliteration of British interests all over the world. As it is, many people hold that our imperial dissolution is more apparent than real: so staunch a hero, they persuade themselves, would never allow final disaster to overtake us. The comfort afforded to the nation by such prestige, with submission, is a deadlier threat to its survival than any number of Red Army divisions.

The assumption is that Churchill, confronting the menace of the times, knows all the answers. It is a pathetic and perilous delusion. Churchill does not know the answers: he trails as forlornly in the wake of devastating events as any of his underlings. But he is unaware that he is forlorn. We have crashed from world masterdom to satellite status with possibly irreparable damage to ourselves, but without making any appreciable dint in the armour of Churchillian complacency. Not a single sentence has escaped his lips to suggest awareness of the British tragedy.

HERITAGE DISSIPATED

Sir Winston, it may be, has fallen a victim to his own legend. His inordinate pride in his war-time fame may have made him incapable of supposing that things can go far wrong as long as he has charge of our destiny. This is not written out of disrespect for the man, but out of anger and pity that our great nation should have made such prodigious efforts, and twice in thirty years have suffered such appalling losses, only to bite the dust as a result of policies which were essentially his own. The entire British future—the future of the infants now in their cradles and of their children and their children's children—is being betrayed. Their heritage is being recklessly dissipated. And it is happening under the auspices of Sir Winston Churchill. It is happening, indeed, with all the more ease because of the massive personality which cushions the impact of each successive disaster upon the public consciousness.

"Massive personality"—nobody will deny that to Sir Winston. But it is not a synonym for wisdom, or even for adequacy. The adequacy of a statesman must be judged by the fruits of his statesmanship, and the Churchillian fruits—let us bravely face the truth—are to be sought amid the debacle of our national and imperial fortunes. Rather than entertain so rank a heresy, the more fervent of his admirers will bid us look to his war-time services. Those services should be acknowledged. Our morale was at a low ebb when Churchill took over in 1940. But should that crisis ever have arisen?

Our British world might still be intact had we allowed Germans and Russians to fight themselves to a standstill on the desolate Steppes. The entire Hitlerian build-up was

for the *Drang nach Osten*. That it turned into the *Drang nach Westen* was the work of international finance, aided by a British war-party which used demonic energy to push this country, unprepared as she was, into a terrible war exhausting to her strength, long before Germany and Russia had exchanged a shot. Who was the leader of the war-party which so blithely hazarded our national existence? Winston Spencer Churchill.

When the panzers were streaming into Holland, and Europe's long nightmare had begun, Winston Churchill became Prime Minister of Great Britain. He has told us, in a confession as frightening as it was candid, that he had no need of happy dreams that night, because "some things are better than dreams." The thought of the agony of Europe, it seems, could not prevail against the thought of the triumph of personal power achieved. Historians in search of evidence about Churchill's fundamental seriousness—or fundamental levity—must surely brood upon that piece of self-revelation.

ROOSEVELT'S LIEUTENANT

Nothing from that day to this seems to have undermined the man's soaring confidence and self-esteem, although to be able to soar has required in him at times something akin to split-consciousness. At the Atlantic Charter meeting, for instance, he became aware of how unscrupulously the United States intended to make use of its power of economic leverage; he accused Roosevelt of seeking to destroy the British Empire. Yet before long he was proclaiming himself proud to be the "lieutenant" of this same man who worked for our ruin.

Almost everything for which Churchill stood was derided by events. He upheld the principle of the integrity of Poland, yet was obliged to acquiesce, at Teheran, when the decision was taken to dismember that unhappy country. He later rounded on the protesting Polish Prime Minister and told him that he should be sent to a lunatic asylum for endangering allied relationships. Churchill also stood for the containment of Communism, yet was obliged to acquiesce, at Yalta, when the decision was taken to extend its sway to the River Elbe. He said, further, that he had not become Prime Minister to preside over the liquidation of the British Empire, yet he traded British bases for antiquated American destroyers, sent out the Cripps mission to prepare for the surrender of India and generally proved so impotent to control the deluge he had helped to set in motion that when the war ended with the victory of our arms we were economically too exhausted and spiritually too drained of energy to take advantage of it.

Yet although the Eastern barbarians were then in the heart of Europe, although we had been reduced to a position of virtual subservience to foreign Powers, and although the British Empire was brought to the verge of the abyss over which much of it has since fallen, Winston Churchill's thoughts were kept in water-tight compartments to such an extent that on the day of victory he set out to give himself the ecstasy of a popular triumph by visiting in turn each of the Allied Embassies and receiving the tumultuous acclaim of the London crowds. He had found it a good war, an enjoyable war, and he was profoundly pleased with himself.

It is probable that Churchill, even when he realized precisely what had happened to Europe, saw no connection between the ruin of its greatness and the policies he had so strenuously pursued. It is almost certain that when he went to make his speech at Fulton he thought of himself, not as one whose policies had led to colossal disaster, but as a renowned world-statesman whose efforts had met with glorious fulfilment—even if the fulfilment had brought the Russians to the Elbe.

What Churchill said at Fulton set the stage for all that followed. The affairs of Britain, he said, must become mixed up with the affairs of America. He would not stop the process if he could. And now the entire non-Communist world, instead of being an alliance of sovereign, independent nations, has been forced by fear and economic duress into a system of military command such as Rome never dreamt of and Britain never thought to achieve. Americans rule the seas, the land, the air. They are the bailiffs of high finance, whose dread sanction is the withholding of dollar aid. We have triumphed over the terrors of great battles only to beg and whimper for our dole.

Obediently—under Mr. Attlee—Great Britain crawled out of India and Burma. Obediently she gave the franchise to near-savages in Nigeria and the Gold Coast. Obediently—under Sir Winston Churchill—she now places Malta at the disposal of the American air-force, prepares to withdraw our fighting troops from Egypt, and ignominiously allows shabby little international commissions to supervise our withdrawal from the Sudan. The child being father to the man, what would the Lieutenant Churchill who charged at Omdurman think of the Sir Winston who throws away sixty years of British toil and loving care?

There are some who associate Sir Winston with an occult plan to bring all these things to pass. That seems to me to be the sheerest nonsense. I do not believe it for a moment. Such a plan does exist: once or twice, when off-guard, Churchill himself has caught a very clear sight of it. But that he himself wills its fulfilment is a thesis fit only for the brain of a madman. Although he has served some queer causes—the restoration of the gold-standard being among the queerest—I am quite certain that there is some corner of Churchill's heart that is forever England. But it is overlaid. Overlaid by the glee of his own renown. Overlaid by the acclamations of a world which mistakes oratory for statesmanship. Overlaid by a monumental self-satisfaction. Overlaid beyond hope of allowing him a clear understanding of what havoc his life's work has wrought upon the land he loves.

The trouble is not that Sir Winston is actuated by any sinister motive—he certainly is not—but that his fame is a mighty façade which conceals from his own gaze, as from the gaze of his fellow-countrymen, his own lamentable inadequacy to cope with the world he has helped to bring into being—a world, dominated by Washington, New York and Moscow, in which Britain is being systematically robbed of every vestige of independence and power. That there is nobody else better able to cope sheds a lurid light on the apparent finality of the disaster. Only a miracle can save us now. If it occurs, no thanks will be owed to our "grand old British institution."

This article had to be written. The probable consequences of writing it have not been left out of account by the writer.

PHILIP FAULCONBRIDGE.

HAVE YOURSELF A MERRY LITTLE CHRISTMAS IN THE "NEW SOUTH AFRICA"!

A READER in Cape Province has sent us a selection of newspaper cuttings from just a few days over the Christmas holiday. They are indicative of the criminal anarchy into which de Klerk and the traitorous National Party establishment are plunging South Africans of all races.

FIVE men arrested for the killing of a policeman on Christmas Eve are members of a rogue self-defence unit operating in Khayelitsha, police claimed yesterday. Shortly after his body was found, a hand grenade was lobbed at policemen at the murder scene. Shrapnel injured six policemen and two vehicles were damaged....DURBAN mayor Mike Lipschitz is arranging top-level meetings of leading municipal officials today to prevent a further outbreak of racial trouble on the beaches. A man fired a shot, but hit a young girl in the leg. When the police arrived a large crowd turned on them, throwing stones and bottles....A PORT Elizabeth man narrowly escaped injury when a bomb went off in a Swartskops flat....A BOY was injured and a house damaged in a petrol bomb explosion today in Pacaltsdorp....POLICE in Natal and Kwazulu today reported five murders, raising the toll from crime and political violence in the province since last Thursday to at least 87....THE toll on South Africa's roads since December 1 rose by four overnight to reach 606....THE Cape Peninsula had a bloody Christmas weekend with more than 17 people, including a policeman, dying violently and nearly 300 assaults reported....A 66-year-old Napier man has been arrested in connection with the attempted rape of a 10-year-old girl....A 14-year-old boy has been arrested after the rape of an 11-year-old girl in Fish Hoek on Christmas night....POLICE said a 20-year-old Bloemfontein woman was jogging on a deserted stretch along the banks of the river when a man appeared from nearby bushes and tried to rape her....A HOUT Bay couple were held up and robbed of their car and belongings at the Grasmere Toll Plaza near Johannesburg on Christmas Eve. But they quickly notified the police, who shot two of the robbers dead.

At least these extracts end on a high note! Yet for how much longer the South African police will be permitted to deal effectively with criminals, particularly under an ANC "government", is anybody's guess.

"TERRIBLE AS AN ARMY..."

IT was Belloc who pointed out the impossibility of writing the history of Europe without mentioning, to take an example, Transubstantiation; whether the historian believes the doctrine or not - indeed, whether it is true or not - history has been shaped by it. This is the standpoint taken by the authors of *The Apparitions of Our Lady in their Historical Context*. The paper relates, briefly, the facts of the apparitions of the Sacred Heart, the Miraculous Medal, and those at La Salette, Lourdes, Portman, Knock, Fatima, Beauring, Banneux and Medjugorje, setting them in the context of contemporary political events and the Papal and Ecclesiastical acts which they prompted.

As might be expected, great attention is paid to Fatima. The booklet lists the almost incredible coincidences between the dates of the Apparitions and the key dates, first in the Communist seizure of power, but also in its sudden (and, humanly speaking, inexplicable collapse). For example, the first Mass celebrated in St Basil's Cathedral since 1917 was on October 13, 1990, the anniversary of the great Fatima miracle of the sun. On October 13, 1991, Russian television transmitted live from Fatima a 75-minute programme, and on that day also the name of St Petersburg was restored. By popular demand, the programme was repeated by 150 Russian and 180 Polish T.V. stations on November 7, the anniversary of the Communist seizure of power. On December 8, Feast of the Immaculate Conception, the Soviet Union ceased to exist. The paper concludes by pointing out that the message common to all the apparitions is that the evils which afflict the West can be defeated only by personal prayer and sanctification.

The Apparitions of Our Lady in their Historical Context (36pp., £2 including postage) is published by the Church in History Information Centre, 21 Elm Road North, Birkenhead, Cheshire L42 9PB.

ANTHONY COONEY

SUE EVELYN - It is with the deepest regret that we record the death of Sue Evelyn in her eighties. She was a tireless campaigner for various Right causes, from fighting fluoridation to combatting coloured immigration.

VISIT YOUR LOCAL LIBRARY...and ask for *Candour*! We regularly supply *Candour* to the main libraries in BIDEFORD, FOLKSTONE, HULL, PETERBOROUGH, SCARBOROUGH and WEST HARTLEPOOL. Would local readers please visit these places and check that our journal is on display? If not, let us know.

Read SPEARHEAD. Edited by John Tyndall and published in support of the British National Party. Sample copy £1 from P.O. Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

THE UNDERMINING OF THE CATHOLIC CHURCH. Mary Ball Martinez's astounding story of the infiltrators who have gained positions of power in order to undermine, in the name of "progress" and "ecumenism", that which was orthodox and traditional in the Church. We have available a limited stock recently imported from Mexico. Only £10 including postage from CANDOUR PUBLISHING COMPANY.

MERRIE ENGLAND 2,000. A fictional anticipation by Colin Jordan of 21st century cosmopolitan Britain. £1.30 from Gothic Ripples, Thorgarth, Greenhow Hill, Harrogate, North Yorkshire HG3 5JQ.

FREEDOM VIDEOS. A wide range of nationalist and revisionist VHS videos available in our latest sales list. Send an s.a.e. to Freedom Videos, B.M. Truth, London WC1N 3XX.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £15 OVERSEAS £20 U.S. \$30 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.
[Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle]